

1 order to allow defense counsel with adequate time to review the discovery, conduct an
2 investigation, and consult with the defendant. In addition, the defendant agrees to exclude for
3 this period of time any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represent that
4 granting the continuance, in order to provide defense counsel with adequate time to review the
5 discovery, conduct additional investigation, and consult with the defendant, is necessary for
6 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §
7 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such a
8 continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18
9 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

10
11 SO STIPULATED:

12
13 MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

14 /s/

15 DATED: April 25, 2012

16
17 CYNTHIA M. FREY
Assistant United States Attorney

18 /s/

19 DATED: April 25, 2012

20
21 ELIZABETH FALK
Attorney for WALTER SWIFT

1 The Court finds that failing to exclude the time between April 17, 2012 and May 8,
2 2012 would unreasonably deny the defendant and counsel the reasonable time necessary for
3 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §
4 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time
5 between April 17, 2012 and May 8, 2012 from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh
6 the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

7 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between April 17, 2012 and May 8,
8 2012, shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C.
9 §§ 3161(h)(1)(G), (h)(7)(A), and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

10
11
12 DATED: 4/25/12


HONORABLE RICHARD SLEBORG
United States District Judge